

Panaji, 10th October, 1996 (Asvina 18, 1918)

SERIES II No. 28

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note :— There are two Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 27 dated 3-10-96 as follows:

- 1) Extraordinary dated 3-10-1996 from pages 345 to 346 regarding Notification from Department of Finance (Revenue Expenditure, Division).
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 8-10-1996 from pages 347 to 348 regarding Notification(s) from Department of Revenue.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Raj Bhavan

Order

No. GU/UNIV/87/96/2509

The resignation submitted by Prof. N. C. Nigam, Vice-Chancellor of Goa University vide his letter No. 1/7/96/VC dated 16th August, 1996, is hereby accepted with effect from 30th September, 1996 (afternoon).

P. C. Alexander, Governor/Visitor, Goa University.

Raj Bhavan, 27th September, 1996.

Order

No. GU/UNIV/87/96/2510

In accordance with Statue I (5) of the Goa University, I hereby order that Dr. Adl. H. Doctor, Senior-most Professor of the Goa University, shall perform the duties of the Vice-Chancellor, consequent upon the resignation by Prof. N. C. Nigam, with effect from 30th September, 1996, until a new Vice-Chancellor assumes duties or till 30th October, 1996 whichever is earlier, Dr. Doctor may take over the charge of Vice-Chancellor from Prof. N. C. Nigam, on 30th September, 1996.

P. C. Alexander, Governor/Visitor, Goa University.

Raj Bhavan, 27th September, 1996.

Order

No. 3/1/90-GA & C (Part) (i)

Read: 1) Order No. 3-1-90-GA&C dated 7-6-91.
2) No. 3/1/90-GA&C (Part) dated 23-9-96.

Sanction is hereby accorded with immediate effect for the redesignation of the post of 'Data Entry Operator' in the pay

scale of Rs. 950-1500 to "Binder Grade II" in the scale of Rs. 950-1400.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anthony Ferrao, Under Secretary (GA).

Panaji, 23rd September, 1996.

Order

No. 3/1/90-GA & C (Part)

Read: (i) Order No. 8/3/88-P&S (IED) dated 17-9-1990.
(ii) Letter No. 3/1/90-GA&C (Part) dated 22-8-1995.

The Government took a decision in 1988 to set up the "New Government Printing Press" at Junta House, to take up expeditiously printing work of urgent, sensitive and secret Government publications. Accordingly, the Government Printing Press purchased various machinery and installed the same.

Whereas, Government vide order referred to (i) above created six posts for the New Press and decided to place it under the administrative control of the General Admn. & Coord. Department, Secretariat, Panaji.

Whereas, the post of "Machine Compositor" in the scale of Rs. 1320-2404 was re-designated as "Data Entry Operator" in the scale of Rs. 950-1500 vide order No. 3-1-90-GA & C dated 7-6-91.

Whereas, since no candidates were available for the post of Sr. Machine Operator, the said post in the scale of Rs. 1400-2300 was down graded as "Jr. Machine Operator" in the scale of Rs. 1320-2040. The Recruitment Rules for all the 6 posts have been published in Government Gazettes dated 29-10-92 and 4-11-93.

Whereas, the new Press could not be taken over by this Deptt. due to non-availability of suitable candidates for the 2 posts of "Jr. Machine Operator" inspite of repeated efforts. Therefore, it was decided to allow the Press to be used by Govt. Printing Press as a "temporary arrangement" so that the machinery does not remain idle. The decision was conveyed to them on 20-8-95. The said Press is in operation till date.

Now Government has decided to hand over the new Government Printing at Junta House *permanently*, to Government Printing Press alongwith all the machinery, fixtures therein as well as to transfer all the 6 posts created vide order referred to all (i) above.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anthony Ferrao, Under Secretary (GA).

Panaji, 23rd September, 1996.

10TH OCTOBER, 1996

Department of Labour
Office of the Commissioner

Order

No. 28/6/94-LAB

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Goa Medical College, Panaji-Goa and Smt. Shobha Dessai, Auxiliary Nurse Midwife, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute').

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, Daman and Diu at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the Goa Medical College, Panaji-Goa, in terminating the services of Smt. Shobha D. Dessai, Auxiliary Nurse Midwife, with effect from 6-1-1989 was legal and justified ?

If not, to what relief Smt. Shobha D. Dessai is entitled to ?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, (Labour) and Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 26th September, 1996.

Order

No. 28/24/90-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Sadhale, Joint Secretary, (Labour).

Panaji, 12th June, 1996.

**IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
GOVERNMENT OF GOA**

AT PANAJI

(BEFORE SHRI AJIT J. AGNT, HON'BLE PRESIDING
OFFICER)

Ref. No. IT/21/90.

Shri Rosario Jacques
Rep. by Goa Trade & Commercial
Workers Union
Panaji-Goa.

V/s

M/s Sardessai Engineering Works
Naguesh Bhawan
Cortalim-Goa.

—Workman/Party I

—Employer/Party II

Party I — Absent.

Party II — Represented by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat.

Panaji, 17th April, 1996.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 12-6-1990 bearing No. 28/24/90-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Sardessai Engineering Works, Cortalim, in terminating the services of Shri Rosario Jacques, welder, w. e. f. 12-7-89 is legal and justified ?

if not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/21/90 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The Party I was represented by Adv. Shri R. Mangeshkar and the Party II was represented by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat. The Party I filed the statement of claim which is at Exb. 2. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Party I are that he was employed with the Party II as a welder in the year 1984 and was paid wages at the rate of Rs. 16/- per day. His services were terminated by the Party II on 12-7-89 and hence a dispute was raised before the Dy. Labour Commissioner, Margao Goa. The Party II by letter dated 4-8-1989 informed the Dy. Labour Commissioner that no person by name Shri Rosario Jacques was employed with them. Subsequently, in the course of the conciliation proceedings, the Party II took the stand that the services of the Party I might have been terminated by the Contractor with whom he was employed. The Party I denied that he was employed by any contractor. The Dy. Labour Commissioner, by letter dated 22-9-89 informed the Party II that the Party I was employed by them and his contributions towards ESI was made by them. The Party II was also asked to produce wage register and muster roll for the period from April 87 to 31st July, 1989. Thereafter, inspection was carried out by the Dy. Labour Commissioner of the establishment of the Party II and it was found that the Party I was the employee of the Party II and not of any contractor. The Party I contended that he was the active member of the Union and therefore, the Party II terminated his services arbitrarily, illegally, malafidely and without justification. The party I therefore, claimed that he was liable to be reinstated with full back wages.

3. The party II filed the written statement which is at Exb. 3. The Party II denied that the Party I was employed with them and stated that he was employed with the contractor Mr. Agostinho Andrade. The party II stated that it mainly undertakes the repair works of barges belonging to its sister concerns and gets the work done through the contractors who engage their own labour and their wages are paid by the said contractors. The party II stated that Mr. Agostinho Andrade was the last contractor engaged by them to carry out specific job which was completed on or about 27th November, 1989 and since no further work was to be executed, the contractor's contract was terminated with instructions to settle all the legal dues of his Labour. The Party I was employed by the said contractor and he worked for about 16 days, that is, till 24-6-89 and from 25-6-89 till the termination of the contract, he remained absent. The Party II stated that it engaged one contractor by name Mr. Yencappa Gollar to carry out repairs work from 1-7-90. He was instructed that preference should be given to the workers who had worked earlier on such jobs. Accordingly, said Mr. Gollar sent a letter to the Party I asking him to report for work on or before 29-6-90 and the Party II also sent a similar letter to the Party I. However, the letters were returned unserved with the remarks "Unclaimed, return to sender". The Party II stated that it had learnt that the Party I had left for gulf countries for better prospects. The Party II contended that since the Party I had not reported for work when employment was offered to him, he is not entitled for re-employment now. The Party II admitted that they were making ESI contributions of all the workmen including that of the contractor. The Party II, however, stated that payment of ESI contributions is not a factor to decide that the Party No. I was in employment of the Party II. The Party II stated that the Party

I was not entitled to any relief as claimed. The Party I thereafter, filed Rejoinder at Exb. 4.

4. On the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed at Exb. 5.

1. Does Party No. I prove that the action of the party II in terminating his services is unjust and arbitrarily as alleged ?

2. Does Party No. II prove that party No. I was not its employee and hence he is not entitled to any relief ?

3. If not, what relief to party No. I, if any ?

4. What Award or Order ?

My findings on the issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1: In the negative.

Issue No. 2: In the negative.

Issue No. 3: Party I is not entitled to any relief.

Issue No. 4: As per order below.

5. Issue No. 1... After the issues were framed, the case was fixed for the evidence of the Party I. However, the case was adjourned on several occasions at the request of Party I on one ground or the other. Adv. Shri Raju Mangeshkar, representing the Party I filed an application on 20-11-95 alongwith the copy of the registered A/D notice and the A/D card praying that he be allowed to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Party I from the case. The application was allowed and Adv. Shri Raju Mangeshkar was discharged from appearing on behalf of the Party I since he had given proper notice to the Party I. Thereafter, two more opportunities were given to the Party I to lead evidence. However, on both the dates of hearing i. e. on 20-10-95 and 8-1-96 the Party I remained absent and hence his evidence was closed on 8-1-96. The reference of the dispute has been made by the Government at instance of the Party I since he challenged the action of the Party II in terminating his services w. e. f. 12-7-1989; and as such Industrial Dispute was raised. The Bombay High Court in the case of V. N. S. Engg. Services Vs Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu and another reported in FJR, Vol. 71 page 393 has held that there is nothing in the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 that indicates a departure from the general rule that he who approaches a Court for a relief should prove his case i. e. the obligation to lead evidence to establish an allegation, the test being that he who does not lead evidence must fail. The Bombay High Court further held that the Party who raises the industrial dispute is bound to prove the contentions raised by him and an Industrial Tribunal or Labour Court would be erring in placing the burden of proof on the other Party to the dispute. The Allahabad High Court has also laid down the same principles in the case of V. K. Raj Industries v/s Labour Court (I) and others reported in 1981 (29) FLR 194. The High Court has held that the proceedings before the Industrial Court are judicial in nature even though the Indian Evidence Act is not applicable to the proceedings before the Industrial Court, but the principles underlying the said Act are applicable. The High Court further held that it is well settled that if a Party challenges the validity of an order if no evidence is produced, the Party invoking the jurisdiction must fail, and the dispute referred by the Government cannot be answered in favour of the workman and he will not be entitled to any relief. I am in respectful agreement with this decision of the Allahabad High Court. In the present case, since the dispute was raised by the Party I, the burden was on him to prove that the action of the Party II in terminating his services was illegal and unjustified. However, the Party I, inspite of being given several opportunities to lead evidence did not do so. Therefore, there is no material before me to hold that the action of the Party II in terminating the services of the Party I is illegal and unjustified. Applying the law laid down by the Bombay High Court in the case of V. N. S. Engineering Services (Supra) and by the Allahabad High Court in the case of V. K. Raj Industries (Supra) in the

absence of any evidence from the Party I, it cannot be held that the action of the Party II in terminating the services of the Party I is illegal or unjustified. In the circumstances, I answer the issue No. 1 in the negative.

6. Issue No. 2... The contention of the party II is that the party I was not in their employment, but he was employed with the contractor Mr. Agostinho Andrade, and thus he was never their employee. However, the party II has not led any evidence to prove the above facts. After the evidence of the party I was closed, the opportunity was given to the party II to lead evidence. However, the Party II submitted that they do not desire to lead any evidence. This being the case, I hold that the party II has failed to prove that the party I was not their employee and hence I answer the issue No. 2 in the negative.

7. Issue No. 3... I have already held that the party I has failed to prove that the termination of his services by the party II is illegal and unjustified. In the circumstances, the Party I is not entitled to any relief. Hence I answer the issue No. 3 in the negative, and pass the following order.

ORDER

It is hereby held that the action of the management of M/s Sardessai Engineering Works, Cortalim in terminating the services of Shri Rosario Jacques, Welder, w. e. f. 12-7-89 is legal and justified. It is further held that Shri Rosario Jacques is not entitled to any relief.

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly, about the passing of the Award.

Sd/-

(Ajit J. Agni)

Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal .

Order

No. 28/19/92-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa

S. D. Sadhale, Joint Secretary (Labour)

Panaji, 12th June, 1996.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(BEFORE SHRI AJIT J. AGNI HON'BLE PRESIDING
OFFICER)

No. IT/44/92.

Workman Rep. by All Goa,
Co-operative Workers Union,
Panaji-Goa.

Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s Goa Bagayatdar Sahakari,
Kharedi Vikri Society Ltd.,
Ponda-Goa.

Employer/Party II

Panaji, 9th April, 1996.

Union/Party I represented by Adv. D. P. Bhise.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. A. F. Diniz.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa, by Order dated 27-7-1992 bearing No. 28/19/92-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:

- (a) Whether the demand of the workmen for the fixation of pay-scales as per the recommendation of the 4th pay commission is legal and justified?
- (b) Whether the workmen of the lower scale discharging the duties of the workmen on the higher scale are entitled for any officiating allowance? if so, what rate?
- (c) Whether the workmen handing cash at branch level and Head Office level are entitled for cash handling allowance?
- (d) Whether the demand of the workmen for the framing of TA/DA rules is legal and justified?
- (e) Whether the demand of the workmen for LTC at par with Goa Government Employees is legal and justified?
- (f) Whether the demand of the workmen for Festival Advance at the rate of Rs. 500/- per annum is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/44/92 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice the parties put in their appearance. The Party I (for short, 'Union') filed its statement of claim at Exb. 3. As regards the demand for fixation of pay scale, the Union stated that the employer had implemented the 4th pay commission pay scales to its class I and II employees and therefore the other employees to whom the said scales were not granted were entitled to the same. As regards the other demands the Union contended that their demands were justified and further contended that the financial condition of the employer was sound and as such the employer was in a position to meet the demands of the Union.

3. The employer filed the written statement at Exb. 4. The employer denied that the Union was the representative Union of the workers. The employer contended that its workers are represented by the Union known as Goa Bagayatdar Karmachari Kalyan Sanghatana. The employer denied that the pay scale of the class I and class II employees is in accordance with 4th pay commission. The employer further denied that the demands made by the Union were justified and stated that the Union was not entitled to any relief. Thereafter, Union filed Rejoinder at Exb. 5.

4. On the pleadings of the parties issues were framed at Exb. 6 and the case was posted for evidence of the Union. However, on 1-3-1996 when the case was fixed for hearing Adv. Shri Bhise representing the Union filed an application Exb. 8 duly signed by the General Secretary of the Union stating that the Union did not want to pursue with the demands mentioned in the reference and hence no dispute Award be passed.

5. The present dispute is as regards the charter of demands raised by the Union. Since the Union has stated that it does not want to pursue with the demands raised by it, the dispute does not exist and consequently the reference does not survive. In the circumstances, I pass the following order.

ORDER

It is hereby held that the reference does not survive since the dispute does not exist in view of the non pursuance of the demands by the Union.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Dated this 10th October, 1996.

Sd/-

(Ajit J. Agni)

Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal.

Department of Law & Judiciary**Law (Establishment) Division****Notification**

No. 5-40-91/LD (6)

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952), read with rule 8 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, and section 21 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Central Act 10 of 1897), the Government of Goa hereby amends the Government Notification No. 5-40-91/LD(6) dated 23-10-91, published in the Official Gazette Series II No. 44 dated 30-1-92, read with Endorsement No. 5-40-90/LD(3) dated 3-11-94 (hereinafter called the "said notification"); as follows:-

In the said Notification, for the words and figure "Judicial Division of Sanguem, Taluka Sanguem" the words and figures "Judicial Division of Sanguem, Taluka Sanguem and Judicial Division of Quepem, Taluka Quepem" shall be substituted.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Angela Menezes, Under Secretary (Law):

Panaji, 25th September, 1996.

Department of Revenue**Order**

No. 26/3/94-RD

Read : Government Order No. 26/3/94-RD dated 18-10-1995.

Shri Ramakant K. Chari, Inspector of Survey and Land Records (City Survey) Vasco, shall also officiate as Inspector of Survey and Land Records (City Survey) Mapusa, in addition to his own duties with immediate effect thereby relieving Shri J. A. G. Rodrigues, Assistant Survey and Settlement Officer, Panaji of the additional charge of the post of Inspector Survey and Land Records, Mapusa.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 17th June, 1996.

Notification

No. 22/58/95-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/58/95-RD dated 13-10-95 published in the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 29 dated 10-10-1995 on pages 285, 286 and in two local newspapers viz. (i) Gomantak dated 26-10-1995 and Navhind Times dated 20-10-1995 it was notified, under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the "said land"), was needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for construction of road from Alto-Feira to Gaunsawado Mapusa.

And whereas in the opinion of the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as the "Government"), the said land is not required for the aforesaid purpose.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under sub-section (1) of section 48 of the said Act that it has withdrawn from acquisition of the said land for the aforesaid public purpose and that the aforesaid Government Notification shall be deemed to be cancelled so far as it relates to the said land. The persons interested in the said land, may lodge to the Deputy Collector (Revenue), Collectorate of North Goa District, Panaji within a period of thirty days from the date of this Notification claims under sub-section (2) of section 48 of the said Act, for the damages suffered by them in consequence of the notice or of any proceedings thereunder, and for costs reasonably incurred by them in prosecution of the proceedings under the said act relating to the said land.

A plan of the land, shall be available for inspection in the office of the Deputy Collector (Revenue), Collectorate of North Goa District, Panaji, for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this Notification.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa,

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 10th June, 1996.

Notification

No. 22/92/93-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Construction of road at Mungul in Margao City of Salcete Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will, under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition), Collectorate of South Goa, Margao, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises, under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition), Collector of South Goa, Margao.
3. The Executive Engineer, Works Division VI (R&B), PWD, Fatorda, Margao.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji.
6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector (Land Acquisition), Collector of South Goa, Margao, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete

City : Margao

P. T. Sheet No./ Chalta No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. Area in sq. mts.
(1)	(2)	(3)
105/1 O:	Not known.	225
143/59 A O:	1. Jose F. Mendes. 2. Idalina Vales.	200
"/58 B O:	Not known.	145
"/86 O:	Antonio Joao Mascarenhas.	85
"/85 O:	Januario Mendes.	250
"/4 O:	1. Exquel J. V. A. R., F. A. Pacheco. 2. Aura B. N. L. Pacheco. 3. Maria F. M. P. Pacheco. 4. Joaquim P. A. M. F. Pacheco. 5. Jose A. C. E. S. M. Pacheco. 6. Terezinho M. J. Pacheco. 7. Maria L. D. Pacheco. 8. Maria A. D. Pacheco.	160
"/82 O:	Shaik Gaffar L. Bepari.	400
"/18 O:	1. Shaik G. L. Bepari. 2. Angelica Dias. 3. Maria Rosa Fernandes. 4. Piedade Silveira.	630
"/16 O:	Pedro A. J. R. E. Sanitas.	150
"/17 O:	basilo Dias.	180
"/19 O:	1. Joao M. Souza. 2. Maria Caitan.	200
"/60 O:	Not known (records Blank).	360
"/20 O:	Joao L. Fernandes.	320
"/21 O:	Not known (Recorded Blank).	280
"/25 O:	1. Sebastiao Joao. 2. Idalina Crasto.	550
"/62 O:	Not known.	260
"/61 O:	Not known.	110
"/26 O:	1. Dattaram R. Bisso. 2. Vassudev R. Bisso. 3. Sadashiv R. Bisso. 4. Nilabai P. Bisso. 5. Ashok P. Bisso. 6. Promodini P. Bisso.	270
"/28 O:	1. Caetano Jose D'Silva. 2. Carolina Rebello.	320
143/29 O:	Same as 143/26.	220
"/35 O:	Arnold Carvalho.	750
"/36 O:	Domingos Silva.	60
"/32 O:	Ananda Rama Naik.	150

(1)	(2)	(3)
146/10 O:	Not known.	910
"/9 O:	1. Piedade F. D'Costa Mendes. 2. Santan Mendes.	60
"/15 O:	1. Bernadina Mascarenhas. 2. Antonio F. D'Cruz. 3. Alex D'Cruz. 4. Mary D'Cruz. 5. Elsie D'Cruz. 6. Greta D'Cruz. 7. Ida D'Cruz.	500
"/13 O:	Rosario X. P. Fernandes.	175
"/14 O:	1. Rosario X. D. Fernandes. 2. Bernadini Mascarenhas. 3. Antonio F. D'Cruz. 4. Alex D'Cruz. 5. Mary D'Cruz. 6. Elsie D'Cruz. 7. Greta D'Cruz. 8. Ida D'Cruz.	006
"/16 O:	1. Ananda R. Naik. 2. Antonetta Fernandes. 3. Gajanan Virginkar. Clarina Fernandes.	670
"/11 O:		50
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North: Chalita No. 1 PTS No. 143, 86/143, 82/143, 18/143, 19/143, 6/106.		
South: Road, 1/143, 86/143, 85/143, 85.		
East: 21/143, 25/143, 62/143, 29/143, 35/143, 10/146, 33/143, 15/146, 13/146, 16/146.		
West: 84/143, 1/105, 1/143, 86/143, 85/143, 4/143, 82/143, 18/143, 17/143, 60/143, 61/143, 26/143, 35/143, 28/143, 10/146, 9/146, 15/146, 16/146, 19/146.		
Total 8646		

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria A. Rodrigues, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 2nd September, 1996.

Notification

No. 22/94/96-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Accelerated Rural Water Supply Scheme to village Pirla in Quepem Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will, under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector/S. D. O., Quepem, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises, under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District; Margao.
2. The Deputy Collector/S.D.O., Quepem.
3. The Executive Engineer, W. D. XX (PHE), P.W.D., Fatorda, Margao.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector/S.D.O., Quepem for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Quepem

Village: Pirla

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
27/1 part	Shri Ramanath G. Fal Dessai.	350
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North: S. No. 27/1 & Road.		
South: S. No. 27/1.		
East : S. No. 27/1.		
West: Nala.		
Total 350		

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria A. Rodrigues, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 4th September, 1996.

Notification

No. 22/91/96-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. construction of road from NH- 17 to Polem Beach in V. P. Loliem-Polem in Canacona Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above:

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will, under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Officer, PWD Cell, Altinho, Panaji, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises, under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Land Acquisition Officer, PWD Cell, Altinho, Panaji.
3. The Executive Engineer, W. D. XXV (R), P.W.D., Borda, Margao.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Land Acquisition Officer, PWD Cell, Altinho, Panaji, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Canacona.

City : Loliem

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
315/5 part	O: 1. Jaya Bapaji Raikar	375
	2. Govt. of India Ministry of Surface Transport (8).	
"/8 "	O: Deu Bhikaro Gaounkar	100
"/16 "	O: Prema Shanu Naik	265
"/12 "	O: Bhicaro Babu Gaounkar	100

1	2	3
315/13 part	O: Sita Bhicaro Gosavi.	60
314/1 "	T: Gheti Lolo Pagi.	
	O: Dayanand Ratnakar Painginkar.	620
	T: Gopal Kanse Sudhir.	
"/3 "	O: Shri Keshav Devasthan of Loliem.	36
"/4 "	O: Madhav Hari Prabhu Dessai.	200
	T: Shankar Madhav Naik.	
"/5 "	O: Ramchandra Bhicu Varik.	130
	T: Domingos Fernandes.	
"/6 "	O: — do —	310
	T: Laximan Kanu Pagi.	
"/7 "	O: Vaikunt Nanu Varik.	215
	T: Uma Gopal Pednekar.	
"/2 "	O: Ramachandra Padmanabh Bhat.	29
	T: Shab Vassu Gaounkar.	
"/12 "	O: 1. Ramchandra Padmanabh Bhat. 2. Madhav Hari Prabhu Dessai.	310
	T: — do —	
313/2 "	O: 1. Filomena Yogin Teles. 2. Shri Dada Devasthan of Polem. 3. Dumingo Baptista.	315
	O: Dumingo Baptista Telies.	70
316/7 "	O: Soiru Yesso Varik.	520
	O: Shri Keshave Devasthan of Loliem.	265
"/8 "	O: Raghunath Vaikunt Prabhu Shastri.	320
	T: Laximi Bhima Naik.	
"/9 "	O: Emilia Telies.	250
	O: Ramchandra Bhicu Varik.	55
315/1 part	O: 1. Raghunath Vaikunth Prabhu Shastri. 2. Govt. of India Ministry of Surface Transport.	340
	T: Babi Nagu Gaonkar.	
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North: S. No. 315/1, 16, 8, 5.		
South: S. No. 315/13, 12, 16, 8; 5.		
East: Road.		
West: Nala.		
North: S. No. 316/9, 8, 7, 11, 13, 314/12, 4.		
South: S. No. 314/1, 3, 2, 12, 4, 313/2, 4.		
East: Nala, S. No. 314/1, 2, 14, 4, 5, 6, 7, S. No. 316/13, S. No. 313/2, 4.		
West: S. No. 316/7, 11, 13, 314/12, 4, 5, 6, 7, S. No. 313/2.		
Total		4890

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria A. Rodrigues, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 4th September, 1996.

Notification

No. 22/50/96-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. construction and B/T of road from Rodrigues to Afonsowada via Battiwado Saicowada, Calvado & Gomeswada in V. P. Cavelossim.

Now therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will, under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Officer, PWD (Cell), Altinho, Panaji, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises, under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Land Acquisition Officer, PWD.(Cell), Altinho, Panaji.
3. The "Executive" Engineer, Division VI (Roads - South), P. W. D., Fatorda, Margao.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Land Acquisition Officer, PWD (Cell), Altinho, Panaji, for a period of 30 days, from the date of publication of this Notification in Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete

Village: Cavelossim

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
155/2 part	Amelia A. Rodrigues.	125
"/3 "	Margarida Dias.	60
"/5 "	Joao G. Rodriguez.	125

1	2.	3.
156/2 part	Flora Dias.	15
"/7 "	Joa Bento Mendes.	30
"/8 "	Bernardo Rodrigues.	125
"/4 "	Victor Rodrigues.	130
"/6 "	Clementina D'Costa.	160
"/11 "	Damaciano Dias.	100
"/18 "	Pedro Afonso.	40
"/15 "	1. Amelia A. Rodrigues. 2. Casilda Rodrigues. 3. Leucadia Afonso.	75
157/3 "	1. Arcangela Rodrigues. 2. Francisco A. Rodrigues.	900
157/9 "	1. Bonavenura Rodrigues. 2. Silvano Rodrigues. 3. Giulermino Rodrigues.	120
"/8 "	1. Caitano Silva. 2. Romano Silva.	200
"/7 "	Fabrica de Igreja de Cavelossim.	250
158/6 "	Amelia Caldeira.	70
"/15 "	1. Maria Costa. 2. Luis Rodrigues. 3. Herculano Caldeira. 4. Trindade Nunes. 5. Simao Nunes. 6. Natividade Caldeira. 7. Damacano Rebello. 8. Gregoria Nunes.	100
"/20 "	Damaciana Dias.	70
"/17 "	Antonio Carlos R. Vaz.	30
"/21 "	Comunidade de Cavelossim.	375
"/22 "	Antonio Lourdes Pereira.	275
"/23 "	Fatima Elsa Conceicao Fernandes.	650
159/6 "	1. Timotio E. D'Costa. 2. Eufrengia D'Costa.	250
"/16 "	Fabrica de Igreja de Assolna.	50
"/3 "	Julieta Dias.	200
125/1 "	Francisco Antonio Rodrigues.	1100
"/2 "	1. Honorato Dias. 2. Peter Dias.	125
125/11 part	1. Francisco Chatonloriand Rodrigues. 2. Gregorio Mosique Rodrigues. 3. Oscar Rodrigues. 4. Feliciano Rodrigues.	200

1	2	3	1	2	3
125/4 part	Julietta Miranda.	75	117/34 part	Roberto Dias.	150
"/3 "	Aires Gomes	260	"/40 "	Cerartina D'Costa.	140
"/13 "	Jessic Rodrigues.	200	"/43 "	1. Issac Dias.	50
126/4 "	Francisco Chatolirian Rodrigues.	70	2. Jose Dias.		
160/4 "	Aires Gomes.	140	3. Fernando Dias.		
"/11 "	Ermetina Rodrigues.	160	"/44 "	Jasso S. Dias.	75
"/15 "	Aires Gomes.	700	"/42 "	Domingo Mendes.	35
"/12 "	1. Rogaciani Dias Mandolin.	250	"/45 "	Rogaciano Dias Mendolina.	170
	2. Pe. Alvaro Remato Mendes.		"/46 "	- do -	60
"/13 "	1. Justino Souza.	400	"/48 "	Baptista Caldeira.	175
	2. Ermitias Souza.		"/49 "	1. Caetano Piedade Pereira.	1050
	3. Laura Souza.		"/50 "	2. Antonio Piedade Pereira.	
	4. Maria Souza.			1. Inocanto Sebastiao Mendes.	5
40/1 "	Caídido Trimeu Rodrigues.	375		2. Cruz Rosario Fernandes.	
"/2 "	Heronio Menezes D'Souza.	60		3. Jose Thomas Fernandes.	
"/3 "	1. Dr. Antonio Dias Mandoli.	15	116/2 "	Zelia Rodrigues.	950
	2. Felix Dias Mändoli.		"/4 "	Basilia Rodrigues.	170
41/5 "	Alciano Gomes.	160	"/5 "	Government.	25
"/6 "	Lucas Dias.	20	"/6 "	Baptista Caldeira.	20
"/8 "	Furgencia Jose Andrew Avelina Dias.	75	"/7 "	Isidorio Rodrigues.	100
"/9 "	Estela Rodrigues.	125	115/7 "	Luis Rodrigues.	150
"/11 "	Isidorio Días.	250	"/8 "	Bernardo D. Dias.	20
"/12 "	Lucas Dias.	10	"/6 "	Cristina Rodrigues.	80
"/13 "	Veronica Rebello.	25	"/20 "	Florita Rodrigues.	10
"/14 "	Aleciano Gomes.	10	"/21 "	1. Lurdes Rodrigues.	110
"/17 "	Julietta Dias.	5		2. Joaquim Luis Rodrigues.	
"/18 "	Joaquim Manuel Gomes.	10	"/23 "	Fabrica de Igreja de Assolna.	185
"/20 "	Isaco Dias.	350	"/25 "	Cruz D'Costa.	250
"/21 "	Joao Baptisto Nascimento Magdalena	180	"/28 "	1. Leucadia D'Cruz.	325
	Afonso Rodrigues.			2. Minguel R. W. L. Pereira.	
"/23 "	Isaco Dias.	200	43/1 "	Ermino Silva Rodrigues.	40
"/26 "	Joaquim Manuel Gomes.	10	"/6 "	Elvina Pereira Agario.	40
"/2 "	Public.	30	43/11 part	Lourenco Afonso.	60
117/2 part	Domingo Mendes.	375	"/15 "	Aires Gomes.	180
"/3 "	Joao Assuncao Afonso Caetano Souza.	90	"/19 "	Julia Rodrigues.	200
"/6 "	Fabrica de Igreja de Assolna.	475	"/22 "	Trinidad Nunès	130
	Thomas Antonio D'Costa.		"/24 "	Elvina Pereira Agario.	160
"/12 "	1. Aires Gomes.	325	"/26 "	Damáciano Fernandes.	110
	2. Antonio Gozago Menino Pereira.		"/27 "	Eugracia Fernandes.	100
	3. Exaltacao Santa Cruz Amancio		"/28 "	Ignes Miranda.	150
	Salvador Rodrigues.		"/29 "	Aires Gomes.	35
"/20 "	1. Alex Caetano Rodrigues.	250	44/1 "	Simplicio Dias.	240
	2. Besaldo Rodrigues.		"/6 "	1. Joneliana Dias.	100
	3. Joao Mauricio Rodrigues.			2. Ignes Dias.	
				3. Catal Dias.	
			"/8 "	Jasso S. Dias.	175
			"/9 "	Aristo Gomes.	100

1	2	3
44/11 part	Alexinho Fernandes.	80
"/12 "	Querina Fernandes.	120
"/13 "	Saurdino Cardozo.	125
"/14 "	Rocha Pio Fernandes.	40
"/15 "	Andre Avelino Miranda.	40
"/16 "	Telosio Rebello.	70
"/17 "	Elérmino Dias.	20
44/18 "	Sebastiao Pereira.	10
"/19 "	Mariquinha Viegas.	12
"/20 "	Emelia D'Souza.	35
45/23 "	Jo��o Dias.	130
"/24 "	Argentina Mendes.	50
"/29 "	Martinho Cardozo.	10
"/30 "	1. Dorotinho Afonso. 2. Luis Rodrigues.	220
"/31 "	Joao Aguiar.	25
"/35 "	Jasso Santos Dias.	500
"/28 "	Domingo Mendes.	15
42/34 "	Lepoldina Gomes.	1100
63/1 "	Aires Gomes.	1500
64/1 "	Comunidade of Cavelossim. - do -	100 600
"/2 "	- do -	1600
67/1 "	- do -	450
66/26 "	- do -	200
66/41 part	Gabriel Milagres Aguiar.	200
"/42 "	Aires Gomes.	575
65/25 "	Comunidade of Cavelossim.	75
89/1 part	1. Cirila Cardoz. 2. Conceicao Cardoz. 3. Antonio Santano Cardoz. 4. Domingo Santano Cardoz. - do -	675 200 20
111/0 "	Eutemlo Afonso.	10
38/24 "	Ernestina Afonso.	200
"/25 "	Fabrica de Igreja de Cavelossim.	200
37/11 "	Conceicao Travasso.	140
"/14 "	Maria Rodrigues.	400
39/1 "	Albertina D'Costa.	80
"/2 "	1. Auroliano Mendes.	190
"/3 "	2. Alberto Mendes.	325
"/4 "	Virginia Fernandes.	25
"/5 "	Comunidade of Cavelossim.	150
"/6 "	Francisco Fernandes.	
	Total	27882

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa:

Maria A. Rodrigues, Under Secretary (Revenue)

Panaji, 6th September, 1996.

Notification

No. 16/39/84-RD(Vol. I)

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (bb) of section 2 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Public Moneys (Recovery of Dues) Act, 1986 (Act 10 of 1987), read with section 21 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Central Act 10 of 1897), the Government of Goa hereby rescinds the Government Notification, No. 16/39/84-RD (Vol. I), dated 30-1-1996, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 50, dated 14-3-1996, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria A. Rodrigues, Under Secretary (Revenue)

Panaji, 25th September, 1996.

Notification

No. 13/7/96-SWD

Keeping in view the SC/ST population in the State of Goa, Government is hereby pleased to reserve in favour of SCs and STs respectively of this State, 2% and $\frac{1}{2}\%$ of all categories of posts (Group A, B, C and D) in the Government Departments, Autonomous bodies, local bodies and State Government undertakings and all other organisations/offices in this State to which the reservation policy is applicable, both for direct recruitment and for promotion with immediate effect.

100 point roster shall be maintained both for direct recruitment and for promotion for all categories of posts. The reserved points for various categories of posts in 100 point roster shall be as under :

(i) Scheduled castes	— 1 and 50 (2%)
(ii) Scheduled Tribes	— 4 ($\frac{1}{2}\%$)
(iii) Other Backward Classes	— 7 and 57 (2%) (for direct recruitment only)
(iv) Handicapped	— 34,67,100 (3%) (for direct recruitment only).

Explanation :— However, in case of STs, in view of $\frac{1}{2}\%$ reservation, one full roster will be skipped after a vacancy is filled up and before next vacancy is available.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

E. Silveira, Under Secretary (Social Welfare)

Panaji, 25th September, 1996.